Predictive models in Oncology

1/19

Luis Mariano Esteban & Gerardo Sanz

Universidad de Zaragoza (Spain)

CESS 2016

Budapest, October 20-21, 2016



Problem

To build and implement binary classification models in oncology with better predictive ability

Work lines:

- Construction of linear models that maximize the area under ROC curve.
- Selection of thresholds (from density functions) in order to achieve practical rules for using the classifier.



3/19

Binary classification problem

- X_1, \ldots, X_n : predictive variables measured on a set of patients.
- Y denotes the status of each patient.

To predict Y (from X's)? (0, healthy, 1 diseased)

Definition

A classifier $\mathbf{Y} := f(X_1, \ldots, X_n)$ is a function of the predictive variables and c is a threshold that separates patients (healthy - diseased).

An individual *i* is classified as diseased if $\mathbf{Y} := f(X_{1i}, \ldots, X_{ni}) > c$, where X_{ki} denotes the value of the *k*-th variable measured on the individual *i*.

Analogously, the individual is classified as healthy if $\mathbf{Y} := f(X_{1i}, \dots, X_{ni}) < c$

Performance measures: sensibility and specificity

Definition

4/19

Let $\hat{Y}(\mathbf{X})$ be the status assigned by the classifier to an individual. Let c the cutpoint that separates the two states.

Sensibility $\equiv TPR(c) = P\{(\hat{Y}(\mathbf{X})) \ge c | Y = 1\}$ Specificity $\equiv TNR(c) = P\{(\hat{Y}(\mathbf{X})) < c | Y = 0\}$

Definition

$$ROC(c) = \{(1 - \text{Specificity}(c), \text{Sensibility}(c)), c \in R\}$$

If F_0 and F_1 denote the distribution functions of the populations, healthy (0) and diseased (1), obtained from the results of the classifier,

$$ROC = \{(1 - F_0(c), 1 - F_1(c)), c \in R\}$$
 or equivalently: $ROC(t) = \{(t, 1 - F_1(F_0^{-1}(1 - t))), t \in [0, 1]\}^{\text{III Versidad}}$

ROC curve: Non-parametric approach

5/19

 $c \in (-\infty, +\infty)$, and $\{p_1, \ldots, p_{n_1}\}, \{\overline{p}_1, \ldots, \overline{p}_{n_0}\}$ numerical values provided by the classifier for the classes 1 and 0, respectively.

$$TPR(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_1} \frac{I(p_i > c)}{n_1} \qquad , \qquad FPR(c) = \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \frac{I(\overline{p}_i > c)}{n_0}$$

 n_1 and n_0 number of individuals of classes 1 and 0 respectively.





Summary measure of ROC curve: area under the ROC curve (AUC)

Definition

Given F_0 , F_1 the distribution functions provided by the classifier for the classes 0 and 1, the AUC is given by

$$AUC = \int_0^1 (1 - F_1(F_0^{-1}(1 - t))) dt$$

Under Normality $Y^0 \sim N(\mu_0, \sigma_0), Y^1 \sim N(\mu_1, \sigma_1)$:

$$A\hat{U}C = \Phi\left(\frac{\hat{a}}{\sqrt{1+\hat{b}^2}}\right) \quad , \quad \text{where} \quad \hat{a} = \frac{\hat{\mu}_1 - \hat{\mu}_0}{\hat{\sigma}_1}, \quad \hat{b} = \frac{\hat{\sigma}_0}{\hat{\sigma}_1}$$

AUC: Non-parametric estimation

Let Y_0 , Y_1 be the values provided by the classifier for classes 0 and 1:

$$\hat{AUC} = \frac{1}{n_0 n_1} \sum_{i=1}^{n_0} \sum_{j=1}^{n_1} I(Y_j^1 > Y_i^0) \ , \ I(z > x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{si } z > x \\ 1/2 & \text{si } z = x \\ 0 & \text{si } z < x \\ \hline x & \text{interview} \\ z & \text{angential} \end{cases}$$

Estimation of linear models under the criterion of maximizing the AUC

Objective: Given (X_1, \ldots, X_n) , estimate the parameters $(\beta_2, \ldots, \beta_n)$ such that the linear model

$$L(\mathbf{Y}) = Y_1 + \beta_2 \cdot Y_2 + \ldots + \beta_n \cdot Y_n$$

maximizes the AUC.

Remark.- The above model and the more complex model:

$$L_g(\mathbf{Y}) = g(\beta_0 + \beta_1 \cdot Y_1 + \dots \beta_n \cdot Y_n),$$

with g any increasing monotone function, have the same AUC.

(Under multivariate Normality: Linear discriminant function maximizes the AUC.)

Normality? \implies Non-parametric approach



7/19

Some proposal

8/19

- First of all, a set of possible values for each parameter β_j is selected.
- The parameters β_i are evaluated only inside the interval [-1, 1], because the estimation of $X_i + \beta X_j$ with $\beta > 1$ or $\beta < -1$ is equivalent to estimate $\frac{1}{\beta}X_i + X_j$ with $\frac{1}{\beta} \in [-1, 1]$.
- If k values are considered for each coefficient β_i and the predictive variables are n, the number of AUC's to analize is of order nk^{n-1} !!!!!

It is not a viable procedure even if the number of variables is small.



Our proposal: Step by step algorithm

9/19

Sketch of the algorithm

- First of all, the best combination of two variables is selected (AUC max).
- Then, we estimate the coefficient β_3 such that $(X_i + \beta_2 X_j) + \beta_3 X_k \operatorname{con} k \neq i, j = 1, \dots, n$ has maximum AUC.
- The process is repeated till all variables are included.
- This algorithm has a computational complexity obviously smaller: $k(n-1)(\frac{3}{2}n-1)$.



Step by step algorithm

Technical questions

- Normalizations, multiple optima
- Exclusion of models with poor predictive ability:

 $H_0: AUC_{Model n \text{ variables}} \leq AUC_{Model n-k \text{ variables}}$ $H_1: AUC_{Model n \text{ variables}} > AUC_{Model n-k \text{ variables}}$

- Correlations between variables.
- Use of additive models. Number of terms in additive models that maximize the AUC.



Discussion

Discussion

- Under normality (independent or not) the algorithm converges to the maximum theoretical value.
- In absence of normality, the algorithm performs better that the logistic regression.
- For a real database of prostate cancer the algorithm and the logistic model shown a similar behaviour.
- The algorithm has been used successfully in prediction of breast cancer (Nicolosi et al, JBSE 2013).



Choosing a threshold point in binary classification problems

Choosing a threshold

- The practical application of the classification models requires the selection of a threshold point *c* that defines a satisfactory classification rule.
- To determine whether a threshold point provides a good classification, we can analyse the ROC curve.
- The best..... high sensibility and specificity.



Classical criteria for choosing a threshold

Definition

Let Y be a classifier: $Y = f(X_1, ..., X_n)$. The Youden index is the point $c \in R$ that maximizes

TPR(c) - FPR(c) (Sensitivity + Specificity - 1)

Definition

Let Y be a classifier: $Y = f(X_1, ..., X_n)$. The optimum point c is the point that minimizes the quantity

 $\sqrt{(1 - TPR(c))^2 + FPR(c)^2}$

((TPR(c), FPR(c))) is the closest point to (0,1))



Our proposal

- Estimation of density functions f_0 and f_1 (Smoothing through kernel functions).
- Selection of a threshold point to separate the two populations.

Remark.- Smoothing of a function f from a data set x_1, \ldots, x_n :

$$\hat{f}(x) = \frac{1}{nh} \sum_{i=1}^{n} k\left(\frac{x-x_i}{h}\right)$$

where h is the bandwidth and k the kernel function. Suggestions:

$$h_n = 0.9 \min\{SD, IQR/1.35\}n^{-1/5}$$
$$k(t) = \frac{15}{16}(1-t^2)^2, with t \in (-1,1)$$



Different situations





Examples



Cut-off probability

Tragoza

Cut-off probability

Application in Prostate cancer. Real data

- Prediction of Organ-confined disease.
- Validation and comparison of the ability predictive and clinical utility of our models with some of the "golden rules" to predict organ-confined disease: Very good results.
- Proposal of the most reliable threshold point to use the estimated model (nomogram).
- Prediction of biochemical recurrence after radical prostatectomy.



Summary

- Implementation in R code of a step by step algorithm for estimating linear classifiers with better discriminative ability.
- Modelling strategies in practical cases to increase the capacity of discrimination of classifiers. The global behaviour of the model is analysed (AUC for discrimination ability, concordance probabilities).
- Use of graphical methods, based on smoothing of density functions, for selection of cut-offs that increase the clinical utility of classifiers.

We can analyse easily the changes in the utility and accuracy of the model changing the threshold.

• Applications in prostate cancer.



References



- Esteban, L. M., Sanz, G., Borque, A. (2011). A step-by-step algorithm for combining diagnostic tests. Journal of Applied Statistics, 38(5), 899-911.
- Borque, Á., Esteban, L. M., Sanz, G. et al. (2013). Genetic predisposition to early recurrence in clinically localized prostate cancer. BJU international, 111(4), 549-558.

Borque, Á., Esteban, L.M., Sanz, G. et al. (2014). Implementing the use of nomograms by choosing threshold points in predictive models: 2012 updated Partin Tables vs a European predictive nomogram for organ confined disease in prostate cancer. BJU international, 113(6), 878-886.



López-Torrecilla, J., Esteban, L. M., Sanz, G. et al. (2015). Three linked nomograms for predicting biochemical failure in prostate cancer treated with radiotherapy plus androgen deprivation therapy. Strahlentherapie und Onkologie, 191(10), 792-800.



Rubio-Briones, J., Borque, A., Esteban, L.M, Sanz, G.et al. (2015). Optimizing the clinical utility of PCA3 to diagnose prostate cancer in initial prostate biopsy. BMC cancer, 15(1), 633.

