Parents' behavioural response to conflict

Cheti Nicoletti ^{1,2,3} Emma Tominey ^{1,3,4} Valentina Tonei ¹

¹ DERS, University of York

²ISER, University of Essex

³IZA

⁴HCEO

40th IATUR Conference, Budapest

Introduction

- Parental behaviours are important for child development, with inequality beginning at home (Kalil, 2014)
- In particular, parental reaction to conflict situations has been found to have an effect on child human capital (Hanisch et al., 2014; Gee, 2016)
- In this paper, we focus on the **instantaneous reaction of parents to conflict situations**, by looking at how parents react when their 2-3 years old child has a temper tantrum

Related Literature

- Economists usually assume that parents are rational individuals who choose their optimal parenting strategy by maximising an utility function, under some constraints (Lundberg et al., 2009; Cosconati, 2011; Doepke and Zilibotti, 2017)
- Recent evidence from the behavioural economics literature has recognized that parents act with subjective rationality relying on their own believes (Cunha, 2014; Boneva and Rauh, 2017)
- An example of deviation from the rational model of parenting is the impulsive and "in the moment" reaction of parents to conflict situations, which exists when:
 - parents have no perfect self-control (Gennetian et al. 2016)
 - ▶ the psychic cost (or mental effort) of interacting with the child is high (Burton et al., 2002; Cobb-Clark et al., 2018)

What we do

- We analyse the instantaneous reaction of parents to conflict situations (temper tantrums) with their children and investigate how parents' behaviours depend on parents' and child's characteristics
- We measure conflict situations by using information from time use diaries on the occurrence of temper tantrums for 2-3 years old toddlers
- As measures of parents' reaction, we focus on two activities that have been found to have a direct effect on child development, i.e.
 reprimanding and comforting the child

Data

- We use **time diaries** from the second wave of the Longitudinal Study of Australian Children (LSAC) to construct a panel dataset Time diaries
- We have information from two days (a weekend day and a working day) and we split the day in three equally long periods, e.g. morning (6-10am), afternoon (11am-3pm) and evening (4-8pm)
- We identify whether (and how many) episodes of temper tantrum the child had using information on whether the child was:
 - crying, being upset, having tantrum
 - arguing, fighting
 - destroying things, creating mess

Parents' Behavioural Response: Definition

- As parental investments, we measure whether/how long
 - parents reprimand their child
 - parents hug, comfort, cuddle their child
- We define the reaction as:
 - moderate (up to 15 minutes)
 - extreme (more than 15 minutes)

Data

Table: Descriptive Statistics of Tantrum Episodes

	Mean	Std Dev.	Min	Max
Tantrum (working day)	0.3039	0.4601	0	1
Tantrum (morning)	0.1041	0.3054	0	1
Tantrum (afternoon)	0.0984	0.2979	0	1
Tantrum (evening)	0.1853	0.3887	0	1
Tantrum (weekend day)	0.3131	0.4639	0	1
Tantrum (morning)	0.1098	0.3127	0	1
Tantrum (afternoon)	0.1186	0.3234	0	1
Tantrum (evening)	0.1700	0.3757	0	1

Data

Table: Descriptive Statistics of Parents' Behaviour

	Full sample		Treated (tantrum)		Controls	
	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.	Mean	Std. Dev.
Moderate reprimanding	0.0581	0.2340	0.2218	0.4156	0.0334	0.1798
Extreme reprimanding	0.0230	0.1499	0.0972	0.2963	0.0118	0.1080
Moderate comforting	0.1315	0.3380	0.2324	0.4225	0.1163	0.3206
Extreme comforting	0.2099	0.4073	0.3352	0.4722	0.1910	0.3931

Empirical Strategy

- We exploit differences in the timing of the tantrum, by comparing parent's behaviour before and after conflict situations and before and after normal situations
- This allows us to apply a difference-in-difference methodology, which is equivalent to a child FE model:

$$I_{i,p,d} = \alpha_0 + T_{i,p,d}\beta_0 + \sum_{k=1}^4 T_{i,p,d} \cdot d_i^{P,k} \beta_k + T_{i,p,d} \cdot \mathbf{X_i} \gamma + D_{i,p,d} \lambda_{p,d} + \eta_i + \nu_{i,p,d}$$

 $I_{i,p,d}$: parental reaction; $T_{i,p,d}$: tantrum episode; P_i : set of dummies for parenting styles; $\mathbf{X_i}$: set of parents' and child's characteristics; $\mathbf{D_{i,p,d}}$: set of dummies capturing period (morning, afternoon, evening) and day (working day vs weekend); η_i : child-parent fixed effect; $\nu_{i,p,d}$: idiosyncratic error term

 Because of the fixed effect, we are controlling for all time-invariant factors, e.g. parenting style, child socio-emotional skills, household composition

Parents behaviours and child development

- Parental reaction to conflict situations, such as tantrum episodes, has been found to be important in explaining socio-emotional development of children later in life (Halligan et al., 2013; Hanish et al., 2014)
- Analysing the association between parents' reaction to a tantrum when children are 2-3 and children's skills (socio-emotional, cognitive and health) when they are 4-5, we find that:
 - ▶ Moderate reprimanding is associated with higher skills
 - Extreme reprimanding and no reprimanding are equally bad for the child
 - ► Child's skills are higher when parents **moderate comfort** her, followed by **extreme comforting** (each of which is better than no comforting)

Main Results

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Reprimanding	Reprimanding	Comforting	Comforting
	moderate	extreme	moderate	extreme
Tantrum	0.163***	0.071***	0.080***	0.107***
	(0.006)	(0.004)	(0.009)	(0.011)
Constant	0.052***	0.015***	0.131***	0.280***
	(0.005)	(0.003)	(0.007)	(0.008)
Time dummies	\checkmark	\checkmark	✓	\checkmark
No. children	2,277	2,277	2,277	2,277

Heterogeneity By Mother's Characteristics

	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Reprimanding	Reprimanding	Comforting	Comforting
	moderate	extreme	moderate	extreme
T	0.099***	0.047***	0.026	0.141***
	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.036)	(0.042)
T * warmth	-0.003	0.006	0.007	0.005
	(0.015)	(0.010)	(0.022)	(0.026)
T * hostility	0.025*	0.010	0.039*	-0.076***
	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.021)	(0.023)
T * inductive reasoning	0.025*	0.027***	0.040*	-0.012
	(0.015)	(0.009)	(0.022)	(0.025)
T * over-protective	-0.033**	0.007	0.031	0.022
	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.021)	(0.023)
T * university degree	0.041***	-0.018**	-0.020	-0.016
	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.021)	(0.024)
T * psych. distressed (moderate)	-0.010	-0.012	-0.043	-0.026
	(0.029)	(0.018)	(0.043)	(0.049)
T * psych. distressed (high)	0.117**	0.109***	-0.153*	0.246**
	(0.059)	(0.037)	(880.0)	(0.100)
T * full-time employed	0.043*	0.010	0.014	0.028
	(0.022)	(0.014)	(0.033)	(0.037)
T * part-time employed	0.035**	0.010	0.005	0.025
	(0.015)	(0.009)	(0.022)	(0.025)

Notes. Models include a set of time dummies. T is also interacted with household and child characteristics. *** p < 0.01, *** p < 0.05, * p < 0.1.

Exploring the Effect of Psychological Distress

- Psychologically distressed mothers are more likely to over-react by deviating from their parenting style if they have:
 - a low level of education
 - a conflict relation with their partner

	Reprimanding	Reprimanding	Comforting	Comforting
	moderate	extreme	moderate	extreme
Level of education				
T	0.094***	0.048***	0.025	0.139***
	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.037)	(0.042)
T * degree	0.035**	-0.010	-0.020	-0.005
	(0.014)	(0.009)	(0.022)	(0.025)
T * psych. distress (high)	-0.218***	0.272***	-0.199*	0.350**
	(0.080)	(0.051)	(0.120)	(0.137)
T * psych. distress (high) * degree	0.717***	-0.349***	0.099	-0.222
	(0.117)	(0.075)	(0.175)	(0.199)
Conflict relationship with the partner	, ,			, ,
Т	0.094***	0.040**	0.021	0.146***
	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.037)	(0.042)
T * psych. distress (high)	0.292***	-0.073	-0.411***	0.294*
	(0.094)	(0.060)	(0.141)	(0.160)
T * argumentative rel.	0.015	0.025***	0.023	-0.021
	(0.015)	(0.009)	(0.022)	(0.025)
T * psych. distress (high)* conflict	-0.290**	0.283***	0.407**	-0.069
	(0.120)	(0.076)	(0.179)	(0.204)

Conclusions

- In this paper we have analysed how parents react to conflict situations with their children and whether their behaviour depends on parents', child's and household characteristics
- We have found that among other factors, mother's psychological well-being plays an important role:
 - Psychologically distressed mothers tend to over-react, by spending more time both reprimanding and comforting the child
 - This effect is driven by mothers having an argumentative relationship with their partner and it is amplified for mothers with a low level of education
- Given this evidence, it may be important to introduce measures aimed at supporting parents and improving their relationship

Future work

- We also find that boys are more likely to be extremely reprimanded than girls
- The differences in parents reactions to tantrum by child gender may depend on different factors
- Future research is needed to understand whether this is due to gender bias or differences in children behaviours

Thank you for your attention!

		NT:	ght		Man	ning	
		4 am	5 am	6 am	7 am	8 am	9 am
	Not sure what child was doing	0 15 30 45			0 15 30 45	0 15 30 45	0 15 30 45
		0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	-
	Sleeping, napping				0000	0000	0000
	Awake in bed	0000		000		0000	0000
	Eating and drinking, being fed	0000	0000	0000	0 0	0000	0000
	Bathing, dressing, hair care, health care	0000	0000	0000	000	0000	0000
	Doing nothing, bored/restless	0000	0000	0000	0000		0000
	Crying, upset, tantrum	0000	0000	0000	0000	00=0	0000
E	Arguing, fighting	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
ė	Destroying things, creating mess	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
ğ	Being reprimanded, corrected	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
eh	Being held, cuddled, comforted, soothed	0000	0000	00 = 0	0000	0000	0000
ŧ	Watching TV, video, DVD, movie	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
What was the child doing?	Listening to tapes, CDs, radio, music	0000	0000	0000	0000	000=	0000
Ę	Using computer/computer game	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
\$	Being read to, told a story, or sung to	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
	Drawing, colouring, looking at book, educational game	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
	Quiet free play (e.g. jigsaw, craft, dress-ups)	0000	0000	000	-000	0000	0000
	Active free play (e.g. running, climbing, ball game)	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	•000
	Being taught to do chores, read, etc.	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
	Visiting people, special event, outing	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000
	Organised lessons/activities	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000	0000



Heterogeneity by child and household characteristics

		-	-	
	(1)	(2)	(3)	(4)
	Reprimanding	Reprimanding	Comforting	Comforting
	moderate	extreme	moderate	extreme
T	0.099***	0.047***	0.026	0.141***
	(0.025)	(0.016)	(0.036)	(0.042)
Children characteristics				
T * boy	0.012	0.017*	-0.056***	0.010
	(0.013)	(0.009)	(0.020)	(0.023)
T * low socio-emotional skills	-0.047**	0.057***	-0.053*	0.006
	(0.021)	(0.014)	(0.032)	(0.036)
T * low learning skills	-0.020	-0.029**	0.042	-0.037
	(0.019)	(0.012)	(0.029)	(0.033)
Household characteristics				
T * household income (bottom 20%)	0.024	-0.036***	-0.033	-0.027
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	(0.017)	(0.011)	(0.026)	(0.029)
T * younger children in the hh	0.053***	-0.012	0.041*	-0.012
	(0.016)	(0.010)	(0.024)	(0.027)
T * older children in the hh	0.004	-0.005	0.033	-0.020
	(0.015)	(0.010)	(0.022)	(0.026)

Notes. Models include a set of time dummies. T is also interacted with parents' characteristics. *** p < 0.01, ** p < 0.05, ** p < 0.1

Results: Second Stage

	Gross motor	Socio-emotional	Learning
	skills	skills	skills
Reprimanding (moderate)	1.759***	0.958	0.819
	(0.578)	(0.618)	(0.594)
Reprimanding (extreme)	-0.336	-0.329	0.663
	(0.905)	(1.010)	(0.778)
Comfort (moderate)	0.124	1.454**	1.762***
	(0.561)	(0.581)	(0.620)
Comfort (extreme)	0.542	1.170**	1.006*
	(0.535)	(0.572)	(0.515)
Uninvolved	-1.576**	-1.501**	-1.934**
	(0.755)	(0.762)	(0.757)
Authoritarian	-0.193	0.444	1.267
	(1.104)	(1.260)	(0.993)
Permissive	-0.0325	0.423	-2.016**
	(0.785)	(0.835)	(0.841)
Constant	100.6***	100.6***	101.9***
	(0.584)	(0.650)	(0.605)

Results: Tantrums as Random Shocks

	Tantrum		Tantrum
High school	0.048	Hours childcare $(q = 2)$	-0.046
	(0.032)		(0.054)
Certificate	0.026	Hours childcare $(q = 3)$	-0.035
	(0.027)		(0.052)
Degree	0.006	Hours childcare (q=4)	-0.080
	(0.029)		(0.055)
Postgraduate degree	0.061*	Formal childcare	0.056
	(0.031)		(0.050)
Uninvolved	0.035*	Informal childcare	0.029
	(0.019)		(0.052)
Authoritarian	0.059**	Socio-emotional skills (normal)	-0.053*
	(0.030)		(0.030)
Permissive	-0.000	Socio-emotional skills (top 15%)	-0.125***
	(0.021)		(0.034)
Boy	-0.006	Motor skills (normal)	-0.019
-	(0.016)	, ,	(0.025)
House ownership	-0.022	Motor skills (top 15%)	-0.063**
	(0.020)	* * * *	(0.029)
Financial hardship (=2)	-0.012	Learning skills (normal)	-0.027
,	(0.026)	J (,	(0.025)
Financial hardship (=3)	0.029	Learning skills (top 15%)	-0.042
,	(0.046)	3 (, , ,	(0.031)
Full-time	-0.067**	Psychological distressed (m)	0.115
	(0.031)	.,,	(0.088)
Part-time	-0.025	Constant	0.426***
	(0.019)		(0.050)
N. children in the household	-0.000		()
inc nouscirota	(0.009)		



